

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/981,045 10/16/2001		/2001	Werner Obrecht	Mo6686/LeA 34,923	6800
157	7590	12/04/2002			
BAYER CC		•	EXAMINER		
PATENT DE 100 BAYER	ROAD		BUTTNER, DAVID J		
PITTSBURG	iH, PA 1520:	5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1712	9.
				DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	 -	A months of		plicant(s)	-1/2
•		Application No.			
•	•	09/981,045		RECHT ET AL	
Office Action Summary		Examiner		: Unit	
		David Buttner	171	3pondence a	ddress
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover	sneet with the corre	-pondence à	
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hower tion. s, a reply within the statutory mini y period will apply and will expire S	ever, may a reply be timely life nimum of thirty (30) days will be SIX (6) MONTHS from the m	be considered time mailing date of this 5 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. ; communication.
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>20 June 2002</u> .			
1)[\(\sum_{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tinit}}\\ \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti}\\\ \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tinit}}\\ \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\te}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\tex	2h/N	This action is non-it	īnal.		
2a)	This action is that the		formal matters Drose	ecution as to	the merits is
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle	, 1935 C.D. 11, 453	. 213 . ى.ن ،	
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4)[<	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the appl	olication.	ration		
۰۰/۱۷	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from conside	ะเสนงท์.		
حرات	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
5)∐ 6)⊠					
7\	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
7)L_ 8)[Claim(s) is all objected to restriction	n and/or election requii	rement.		
Applica	ation Papers				
	- is a shooted to by the F	Examiner.		ner	
10)	:0/252: 0)	VIT accepted or bit 1 0010	ected to by the Exam		ĭ(a).
, U)L	The drawing(s) filed on is/are. a)	tion to the drawing(s) be i	neid in abeyance. Sec	ed by the Fire	aminer.
111	The proposed drawing correction filed o	on is: a) 🗀 applo	Oved b) and all the	, ou by the EX	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1	If approved, corrected drawings are requi	lifed in reply to this office	action.		
127	The oath or declaration is objected to by	ny the Examiner.			
1	20 C 21 C 2 C 21 C 2 C 21 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2			(a) · "=	
Friorit	by under 35 U.S.C. $\S\S$ 113 and 123 $igotimes$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
13)[None of:				
	- us a second the priority de	ocuments have been re	eceived.		
		Rocuments have been re	eceived in Applicanc	on No	<u>-</u> ·
		of the priority documents	is have been receive	ed in this Nat	tional Stage
	application from the interna	for a list of the certified	ed copies not receive	ed.	
	made of a claim for	or domestic priority unde	let 33 0.0.0. 3 1 19(s	, o , (· · · · · ·	isional application,
15)	a) \square The translation of the foreign lang \square Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority unc	ter 35 U.S.C. §§ 12t	u and/or 121	
Attach	nment(s)		A) [] Interview Summar)	ry (PTO-413) Pa	aper No(s)
1) 国	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	PTO-948) 5	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other	Patent Applica	tion (PTO-152)
3) 🔯	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	1 (-1 214 '			Part of Paper No. 8

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The independent claims use of "phenolic resin adducts" is confusing. Phenol, resorcinol and formaldehyde later called for as species of "phenolic resin adducts" are not "resins" and are not "adducts" of anything. These three species are simple low Mw compounds.

Claim 5"s "paraformaldehyde" and "hexamethylene tetramine" are not formaldehyde. Formaldehyde is a single specific compound.

Claim 6's "phenol", "resorcinol" and "Formaldehyde" are not condensed phenolic resins.

These three species are simple low Mw compounds.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the DE 19701487 Patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,045

Art Unit: 1712

The reference exemplifies (3A) blends of natural rubber, NBR gel, vulkadur RB,

Cohedur H30 etc. Vulkadur RB is a phenol-formaldehyde polymer. Cohedur H30 is a

combination of silica and hexamethylene tetramine (see applicant's specification page 13, line

7). Bis(triethoxysilylpropyl) tetrasulfare can be included (page 3, line 65).

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-8,10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Takashima EP 1020492 Patent.

The reference blends uncrosslinked rubber such as NBR with a particulate crosslinked rubber. Phenol-formaldehyde resins (page no. 7, line 39) and phenolic compounds (page 7, line 50; page 8, line 41) can be included. Amounts of the materials are not given, however applicant's 0.1-50 parts encompasses the conventional amounts.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Takashima EP 1020492 in view of Obrecht '488.

Takashima does not give any specific amounts for his suggested antiaging inhibitors (stabilizers) and crosslinking agents.

Obrecht (col. 4, lines 35-47) states conventional amounts are 0 1-50%. It would have been obvious to use phenolic stabilizers and/or crosslinkers at 0.1-50% in Takashima's composition.

Claims 1-8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Doran Patent in view of Obrecht '488 or '891.

Doran teaches tire tread compositions of rubber, silica, polyamine, aromatic hydroxy compound and methylene donor. The aromatic hydroxy can be phenol or resorcinol (claim 1). The methylene donor may be hexamethylene tetramine or paraformaldehyde (claim 1).



Art Unit: 1712

Doran does not suggest including a crosslinked rubber gel in the tread composition.

Inclusion of such gels are know to improve rolling resistance and wet grip (Obrecht '891 col. 1, lines 40-49; Obrecht '488 col. 1, lines 30-38). It would have been obvious to include these rubber gels in Doran's tread for the expected improvement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is 703-308-2403. The examiner can normally be reached on Weekdays from 10:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on 703-308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

DAVID J. BUTTNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

D. Butter/mn December 2, 2002 Deal Cutter